# United States District Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

#### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

### **ORDER OF DETENTION** PENDING TRIAL

IMOTHY THOMAS SIMS	Case Number: <u>1:11-CR-37</u>

IIM	OIF	HY THOMAS SIMS	Case Number. 1.11-CR-37	
requir	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.se detention of the defendant pending trial	S.C.§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts in this case.	
		P	art I - Findings of Fact	
(1	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offer	ense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal d have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had	
		a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4).	
			m sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
		an offense for which the maximu	m term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed after to U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comp	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 parable state or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was offense.	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)		elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a assure the safety of (an)other person presumption.	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably (s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this	
			Alternate Findings (A)	
Ш	(1)		the defendant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the pres	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will be defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alternate Findings (B)	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendar		
	(2)		nt will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
		engaging in sexually explicit conduct. T	nces of the sexual exploitation of a child and possessing pictures of a minor here has been a recent state charge against him for similar incidents not charged 00 credit card; \$150,000 property settlement; \$30,000 in child support; and 11 period totalling in excess of \$20,000.)	
		Defendant has been a mild user of marij	juana, but has used cocaine 3 to 4 times per week (continued on attachment)	
		Part II - Written	Statement of Reasons for Detention	
d that t	the c	redible testimony and information sul	omitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that	
			sure the safety of the community from further predatory behavior by the	
defenda	ant a	is far as young girls are concerned, b	ased upon the unrebutted presumption.	
		Dowt III	Directions Regarding Detention	
Tho	dofo			
acility s lefenda or on re States n	separ nt sha quest narsh	rate, to the extent practicable, from pers all be afforded a reasonable opportunity f t of an attorney for the Government, the nal for the purpose of an appearance in o	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The or private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United State person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United connection with a court proceeding.	
Б	Г-	shmiom, 18, 2011	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
Dated:	<u>re</u>	ebruary 18, 2011	Signature of Judicial Officer	
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

Case 1:11-cr-00037-JTN ECF No. 13. PageID.23 Filed 02/25/11 Page 2 of 2

United States v. **TIMOTHY THOMAS SIMS** 1:11-CR-37

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

#### **Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

during the last quarter of 2010. He has a short criminal history. The testimony at the hearing indicated that defendant's interest in child pornography is not a one-time thing, but is rather pervasive. The charges in both the federal and state court arise out of relationships defendant has had with two different mothers; one had a 7-year old daughter and the other had two two young teen-age daughters. There is some suggestion that the mothers may have made their daughters available to the defendant, at least for the purpose of viewing them naked. Defendant had several videos on his I-Phone which appear to have been taken through a window showing a naked teenage girl coming out of a shower. The videos are on the defendant's I-Phone, but he apparently told an investigator that the mother took the pictures. Defendant's email chats with the mother of the 7-year-old showed his eagerness to see pictures of the naked girl, and he apparently asked the mother if it was okay to perform certain sexual acts with this little girl. There is also reason to believe that the little girl's story, told to her father and to social workers about the defendant and her mother having a sexual relationship where she was on the couch with them, is more credible than the statements of the defendant and the mother that they were performing a sexual act but were not sure if the girl was there or not.

The presumption in this case has not been rebutted.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)